

Rochester, NY 14650-2201

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/742,955 12/20/2000 Tammara Combs 80994DMW 8704 7590 09/24/2004 · EXAMINER Thomas H. Close SAX, STEVEN PAUL Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 343 State Street 2174

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	.6	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/742,955	COMBS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Steven P Sax	2174
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may lion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC we statute cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	,		
1)[<	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 January 2004.	
		This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applic	eation	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	andrawn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement	
	on Papers		
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner	
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Everiner
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeva	nce See 37 CED 1 95(a)
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing	1(S) is objected to See 37 CER 1 121(d)
11)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		5 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1
		,	
۲ لــا(۲۰ ⊟ادهـــــــــ	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ Allb)	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	monto hava ta av	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.	and the same of the
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	oriority documents have been	Application No
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17 2/a))	received in this National Stage
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received
		and some oopies not	
	2		
tachment(s) 。		
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
Г	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
	tion Disclosure Statement - VDTO 1110		Januar Date
☐ Notice☐ Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/742955 Page 1

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application has been examined. The amendment filed 6/1/04 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomat et al (6784925) and Schmitt (5983220).
- Regarding claim 1, Tomat et al show navigating pictures (Figures 22, 25, column 20 lines 10-45), providing first, second, and third dimensions representing first, second, and third characteristics of grouped pictures (column 20 lines 20-50, column 21 lines 1-20), and providing in a scatter plot a plurality of pictures according to each dimension along each axis (Figure 22, 25). The third dimension is evidenced by distinct visual characteristics of the icons (indicators, Figure 22, 25, column 19 lines 35-50). Each

Application/Control Number: 09/742955

Art Unit: 2174

picture icon indicates the set of pictures grouped using the three dimensions (Tomat et al column 19 lines 30-59).

Tomat et al do not specifically show how the pictures are retrieved from a database, where each icon represents a group of pictures in a database, but Schmitt shows this (abstract, Figure 16, 23, column 2 lines 35-65) to navigate pictures. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Cohen et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Schmitt shows that the dimensions along the display for the icons are determined by metadata stored with the picture data (Figures 3, 9). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Cohen et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures along axes.
- 6. Regarding claim 3, those metadata in Schmitt are configurable by a user to represent specific characteristics and attributes (colum 4 lines 25-52). This is part of the feature in which the obviousness to combine follows as above.
- 7. Regarding claim 4, the dimensions are linked and changes to one affect the others (Tomat et al column 19 lines 20-43).

Art Unit: 2174

8. Regarding claim 5, the groups of pictures are pictures in a common strip of film (Tomat et al column 2 lines 40-60, different shots taken of the same object, also column 2 lines 30-60).

- 9. Regarding claim 6, the third dimension is evidenced by shading/color differences (Tomat et al Figure 22).
- 10. Regarding claim 7, the gradations are determinable by a user (Tomat et al column 19 lines 5-20).
- 11. Regarding claim 8, neither Tomat et al nor Schmitt show identifiable persons, but Official Notice is taken that this is possible. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have identifiable persons as pictures in Cohen et al, because it would be a convenient attribute or characteristic to use.
- 12. Regarding claim 9, Tomat et al show that the characteristics show an identifiable object (column 2 lines 20-44).
- 13. Regarding claim 10, Schmitt shows identifiable events (Figure 26, car feature selection). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have

Application/Control Number: 09/742955

Page 4

Art Unit: 2174

this in the pictures of Tomat et al, because it would be a convenient attribute or characteristic to use.

14. Regarding claim 11, the pictures characteristics in Tomat et al include temporal information (column 2 lines 5-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/742,955

Art Unit: 2174

- 15. Regarding claims 12-13, the date or time of day of capture is shown in Tomat et al (Figure 22, 25).
- 16. Regarding claim 14, the characteistics include identifiable capture locations (Tomat et al column 2 lines 10-21).
- 17 Regarding claim 15, the characteristics include explanatory comments (Schmitt Figure 16). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to include this in Tomat et al, because it would provide a convenient way to navigate pictures.
- 18. Claims 16-30 show the same features as claims 1-15 respectively and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Mr Schindler, please feel free to call to discuss features and further claim analysis.
- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P Sax whose telephone number is 703-305-9582. AFTER OCTOBER 12, EXAMINER MAY BE REACHED AT **571-272-4072** The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/742,955 Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAG MEMEKE PEMINAKE YAN SEPE